## GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2011

H D HOUSE BILL 770

## HOUSE BILL 779 PROPOSED COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE H779-PCS50300-SA-24

Short Title: E	Electronic Recording/Custodial Interrogations.	(Public)
Sponsors:		
Referred to:		
	April 7, 2011	
	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED	
	AMEND THE LAW REGARDING ELECTRONIC REGAL INTERROGATIONS.	CORDING OF
	sembly of North Carolina enacts:	
SECTION 1. G.S. 15A-211 reads as rewritten:		
"§ 15A-211. Electronic recording of interrogations.		
record of an en	ose. – The purpose of this Article is to require the creation of tire custodial interrogation in order to eliminate disputes about ing prosecution of the guilty while affording protection to the efficiency	t interrogations,
(b) Applinterrogations of detention. detention of investigation is	lication. – The provisions of this Article shall only apply to f juveniles in homicide criminal investigations conducted a ntion. The provisions of this Article shall also apply to any person in a criminal investigation conducted at any place of related to any of the following crimes: any Class A, B1, or B2 of rape, sex offense, or assault with a deadly weapon with intent	t any place of any custodial detention if the felony, and any
serious injury.		
	nitions. – The following definitions apply in this Article:	
(1)	Electronic recording. – An audio recording that is an authounaltered record; or a visual recording that is an authounaltered record.	
(2)	In its entirety. – An uninterrupted record that begins with and enforcement officer's advice to the person in custody of constitutional rights, ends when the interview has completed clearly shows both the interrogator and the person in custody the record is a visual recording, the camera recording interrogation must be placed so that the camera films both and the suspect. Brief periods of recess, upon request by custody or the law enforcement officer, do not constitute an "the record. The record will reflect the starting time of the resumption of the interrogation.	of that person's ly finished, and y throughout. If the custodial the interrogator of the person in linterruption" of
(3)	Place of detention. – A jail, police or sheriff's station, detention facility, holding facility for prisoners, or other persons are held in custody in connection with criminal charg	facility where



(d)

inflicting serious injury.

- (e) Admissibility of Electronic Recordings. During the prosecution of any homicide, offense to which this Article applies, an oral, written, nonverbal, or sign language statement of a defendant made in the course of a custodial interrogation may be presented as evidence against the defendant if an electronic recording was made of the custodial interrogation in its entirety and the statement is otherwise admissible. If the court finds that the defendant was subjected to a custodial interrogation that was not electronically recorded in its entirety, any statements made by the defendant after that non-electronically recorded custodial interrogation, even if made during an interrogation that is otherwise in compliance with this section, may be questioned with regard to the voluntariness and reliability of the statement. The State may establish through clear and convincing evidence that the statement was both voluntary and reliable and that law enforcement officers had good cause for failing to electronically record the interrogation in its entirety. Good cause shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
  - (1) The accused refused to have the interrogation electronically recorded, and the refusal itself was electronically recorded.

Electronic Recording of Interrogations Required. - Any law enforcement officer

conducting a custodial interrogation in a homicide an investigation of a juvenile shall make an

electronic recording of the interrogation in its entirety. Any law enforcement officer conducting

a custodial interrogation in an investigation relating to any of the following crimes shall make

an electronic recording of the interrogation in its entirety: any Class A, B1, or B2 felony; and any Class C felony of rape, sex offense, or assault with a deadly weapon with intent to kill

- (2) The failure to electronically record an interrogation in its entirety was the result of unforeseeable equipment failure, and obtaining replacement equipment was not feasible.
- (f) Remedies for Compliance or Noncompliance. All of the following remedies shall be granted as relief for compliance or noncompliance with the requirements of this section:
  - (1) Failure to comply with any of the requirements of this section shall be considered by the court in adjudicating motions to suppress a statement of the defendant made during or after a custodial interrogation.
  - (2) Failure to comply with any of the requirements of this section shall be admissible in support of claims that the defendant's statement was involuntary or is unreliable, provided the evidence is otherwise admissible.
  - (3) When evidence of compliance or noncompliance with the requirements of this section has been presented at trial, the jury shall be instructed that it may consider credible evidence of compliance or noncompliance to determine whether the defendant's statement was voluntary and reliable.
- (g) Article Does Not Preclude Admission of Certain Statements. Nothing in this Article precludes the admission of any of the following:
  - (1) A statement made by the accused in open court during trial, before a grand jury, or at a preliminary hearing.
  - (2) A spontaneous statement that is not made in response to a question.
  - (3) A statement made during arrest processing in response to a routine question.
  - (4) A statement made during a custodial interrogation that is conducted in another state by law enforcement officers of that state.
  - (5) A statement obtained by a federal law enforcement officer.
  - (6) A statement given at a time when the interrogators are unaware that the person is suspected of a homicide an offense to which this Article applies.
  - (7) A statement used only for impeachment purposes and not as substantive evidence.

Page 2 House Bill 779 H779-PCS50300-SA-24

(h) Destruction or Modification of Recording After Appeals Exhausted. – The State shall not destroy or alter any electronic recording of a custodial interrogation of a defendant convicted of any offense related to the interrogation until one year after the completion of all State and federal appeals of the conviction, including the exhaustion of any appeal of any motion for appropriate relief or habeas corpus proceedings. Every electronic recording should be clearly identified and catalogued by law enforcement personnel."

6 7 8

**SECTION 2.** This act becomes effective December 1, 2011, and applies to interrogations occurring on or after that date.